

Mr. Ramsgard requested that the application be continued to next month's meeting as the grading plan and construction sequence needs to be revised based on the recent site visit.

Chairman Tucker inquired regarding the boat shed and asked if there was consideration in reducing the size of the doors as the shed is large enough to accommodate an automobile and there is no driveway access to it. Potentially impermeable surface coverage could be increased by accessing the shed with a vehicle. Mr. Ramsgard stated that it is used to store the temporary dock sections and a boat. He recommended that a bollard could be installed to prevent a vehicle from parking in the shed. He continued that a smaller door opening would inhibit the ability to load a sailboat in for storage. Mr. Marvasti stated that he has owned the property since 1986 and has not driven a car down to the shed.

Member Estes asked if the proposal was for the demolition of the existing dwelling and construction of a new dwelling at the same location. Mr. Ramsgard stated that the proposed dwelling will be very close to the prior location. Member Estes commented that the dwelling could be located closer to the road and reduce the impervious surface further. Mr. Ramsgard stated that the septic system is closer and moving the dwelling further back would place the dwelling significantly behind the dwelling to the north. The driveway will be shortened by 45' and will have a grass strip down the center of the driveway. He stated that the grass strip installation at the Scutari's property has been installed for a few years and is working remarkably well. Member Estes inquired whether the applicant will need a variance for the proposed setbacks. Mr. Ramsgard stated that the applicant has received the variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals.

**WHEREFORE**, a motion was made by Chairman Tucker and seconded by Member Southern to declare this application to be a Type II action not subject to SEQR review. The Board having been polled resulted in the unanimous affirmance of said motion.

At this time, Chairman Tucker opened the Public Hearing. No one spoke in favor of the project. Chairman Tucker asked if there was anyone wishing to speak in opposition, or had any other comments. No one spoke in opposition or had any other comments. A letter of support from the neighbor to the south, Mr. Leverich, in support of the proposal was submitted.

**WHEREFORE**, a motion was made by Chairman Tucker and seconded by Member Southern to continue the public hearing on *Tuesday, April 15, 2014 at 7:30 p.m.* The Board having been polled resulted in the unanimous affirmation of said motion.

#### **Extension Request- Major Special Permit**

Applicant: Old Seneca Heights LLC  
Marilynn Bonnavier  
1041 Old Seneca Tpke  
Skaneateles, New York  
**Tax Map #028.-01-04.0 & 027.-03-01.1**

Present: Robert Eggleston, Architect

Mr. Eggleston stated that the applicant is requesting an extension to the approval for the 68 apartment/flat development. The sewer district has been established and the applicant is working towards finalizing other conditions connected to the original approval issued in 2010.