#### Town Board Meeting December 7, 2020 6:30 p.m.

Remote Meeting via www.zoom.com (id#: 834 9333 3445 password 523733)

**Present:** Supervisor Aaron, Councilor Tucker, Councilor McCormack, Councilor Alexander, Councilor Legg, Attorney Smith

Also, Present: Bridgett Winkelman, Sue Murphy, Kim Benda, Miranda Robinson, Allan Wellington, Paula Powell, Jason Gabak (Skaneateles Press), Chris Buff, Howard Brodsky, Scott Molnar (Planning and Zoning Attorney), Dessa Bergen.

Supervisor Aaron stated we are working hard to keep the Town Hall safe for the employees and the public during the COVID Pandemic. The Board and all departments encourage residents to apply for their new Transfer Station permits by mail or online. The 2021 tax bills will be mailed out by the end of the month and Lori Milne, Tax Collector is asking people to pay by mail or make an appointment to pay in person.

Highway, Water, Transfer Station: Highway Superintendent Allan Wellington reported in the Highway Department the plows had been out eight times since the last Board meeting, they installed a driveway culvert on County Line Road, and employees had been doing truck maintenance and garage housekeeping. In the Water Department they continued to winterize hydrants, worked on a water service leak along Route 20, near the Kwik Fill, and repaired a water service break on Old Seneca Turnpike. At the Transfer Station they finished insulating and sheathing the guard shed. They now have three employees checking hang tags and permits. They mowed brush and removed small trees at the capped landfill. Installed new anchors for the guard rail in front of the recycling building, the loader had been repaired and delivered back to the Transfer Station, and they received a proposal for grinding brush and selling the mulch from Green Renewable Inc., out of Manchester, New York. Highway Superintendent Wellington stated he would have a proposal from Green Renewable at the next meeting for the Board to consider. Supervisor Aaron stated this company is used by the Town of Onondaga, Camillus and Onondaga County (OCRA).

**Planning & Zoning:** Councilor Tucker reported the Planning and Zoning Department had 7 existing projects currently open; Hidden Estates, Graham County Line Road Subdivision, Zechman Subdivision, Graham Multi-Family Residences, Meunier, and Victory Sports. And, 7 open projects. Other activity included the completion of all the required CEO training for 2020, they conducted two pre-application meetings, they had prepared an analysis of the fees with recommendations in conjunction with Codes Officer Bob Herrmann, and prepared an analysis of the DRA of land sales for the last five years with recommendations to the Town Board.

**Codes:** Councilor Tucker reported there were 19 new permits issued totaling \$9,631.90 in fees and a total of \$1,555,818.00 in value and 6 Certificates of Completions were issued.

Codes Officer Herrmann reported at had been a little busier this month. Expired permits are up a little; 53 at this time-still 6 of the original 350 are still open. Working on trimming those numbers

down now. He reported his training is completed for the year- Karen is also completed for the year, Ben has almost completed his training, he passed his required in service exam and is about 75% done with his required 24 hrs. Fire inspection database still in process.

**Parks**: Parks Manager Sue Murphy reported with the winter months approaching the Parks Staff will do their best to keep the walking path at Austin Park clear during the winter. She reminded the public of the leash law at the Charlie Major Nature Trail. Supervisor Aaron stated she could talk to the Town Dog Control Officer, David Wawro and maybe he could check the Nature Trail from time to time.

Staff Engineer: Staff Engineer, Miranda Robinson reported on the Solar Project stating the Central New York Regional Planning and Development Board had a meeting with Abundant Solar and they are waiting on the final decision from True Green Capital for the award of construction for the project. This will hopefully come later this week or next. With the Sailors and Soldiers Monument the final invoices are being submitted. For the Smart Cities project, the equipment is in route and the town can still utilize the grant monies for the Transfer Station cameras. This is a big win for the Town. The water schedule of fees is being revamped for resubmission at the end of this week.

**Budget:** Budget Officer Winkelman reported the 2021 tax rate had been set. Bookkeeper to the Supervisor, Keri Fey started on November 30<sup>th</sup> and completed her first payroll. The Town's independent auditors, Inscerno, Inc will begin their preliminary review this week for the 2020 audit. Supervisor Aaron welcomed Keri Fey to her new position.

Minutes of November 16, 2020, and November 18, 2020: On a motion of Councilor Alexander, seconded by Councilor Legg, and with a (5-0) affirmation of the Town Board the minutes of November 16, 2020 and November 18, 2020 were accepted as presented.

Town of Skaneateles Local Law Proposed Local Law "A Local Law Amending Chapter 148 of the Code of the Town of Skaneateles", and the Draft "Comprehensive Plan" with the appendix "Town of Skaneateles Open Space Plan": Attorney Smith reviewed the process of SEQRA for the proposed Local Law C of 2020 "A Local Law Amending Chapter 148 of the Code of the Town of Skaneateles", and the Draft "Comprehensive Plan" with the appendix "Town of Skaneateles Open Space Plan". He explained Part 2 of the Environmental Assessment Form must be completed by the Board for each proposed document. The State Environmental Assessment Form required the Town consider the environmental impacts possible from the proposed Local Law and Comprehensive Plan. The proposed documents are an action by the Town Board therefore the Environmental Assessment form needs to be completed. Part 1 of the Environmental Assessment form was completed at the beginning of this process, and tonight the Board will complete Part 2. Part 1 reviewed the specific project. Part 2 reviewed the potential environmental impacts. If no significant impacts are considered as part of this action the Board would deem a negative declaration. If any significant impacts are considered the Board would deem a positive declaration and additional studies would have to be completed.

Attorney Smith verbally reviewed part 2 of the State Environmental Assessment Form:

- 1. **Impact on Land:** The proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 2. **Impact on Geological Factors**: The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 3. **Impacts on Surface Water:** The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds, lakes).

Attorney Smith stated the code does not reduce protections in the watershed it increased the protection to Skaneateles Lake Watershed. For example, the shared lake front and recreation provisions were modified to increase protection be amending the requirement of 10 feet of lake frontage for each property sharing the frontage by increasing this requirement to 15 feet. The lake overlay district requirements for lot coverage had been clarified. In the hamlet district, north of the village and IRO districts modifications were made to allow for modest increases in lot coverage outside the watershed to encourage development outside the Skaneateles Lake watershed. With these clarifications, the Board agreed the answer is "No".

- 4. **Impact on groundwater:** The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifer. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 5. **Impact on Flooding:** The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 6. **Impacts on Air:** The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 7. **Impacts on Plants and Animals:** The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 8. **Impacts on Agricultural Resources:** The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources: The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. Attorney Smith clarified the zoning districts had not changed with this update. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 10. **Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources**: The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 11. **Impact on Open Space and Recreation:** The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. The Board agreed the answer is "No".

- 12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas: The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 13. **Impact on Transportation:** The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 14. **Impact on Energy:** The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 15. **Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light:** The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor lighting. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 16. **Impact on Human Health:** The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 17. Consistency with Community Plan: The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. Attorney Smith explained this Town Zoning Code was updated be consistent with the updated Comprehensive Plan. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 18. Consistency with Community Character: The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. The Board agreed the answer is "No".

Attorney Smith stated this completed part 2 of the Environmental Assessment Form. Considering the Board answered "No" to all the environmental questions he recommended the Board declare a Negative Declaration for Proposed Local Law C of 2020.

On a motion of Councilor Tucker, seconded by Councilor Alexander and with unanimous (5-0) affirmation of the Town Board, based on the foregoing, and pursuant to SEQR's Regulations for determining the significance of an action, 6 NYCRR §617.7, the Proposed Action, a Type I action under SEQR's Regulations will have no significant adverse environmental impacts and, therefore, the Town Board hereby issues a Negative Declaration.

Attorney Smith stated with the Board's determination of a Negative Declaration the Board would pass this amendment as a Local Law. The Local Law 3 of 2020 "A Local Law Amending Chapter 148 of the Code of the Town of Skaneateles".

Supervisor Aaron read Planner Joel Russell's statement. Mr. Russel was unable to attend this evening and wanted his statement part of the record.

"Town Supervisor Janet Aaron has asked me to prepare a statement she can read at the December 7<sup>th</sup> meeting describing two key issues that were raised at the public hearing: affordable housing and protection of the lake.

First, I would like to thank all of the dedicated volunteers, staff, citizens, and consultants who worked together so effectively to bring this revised Zoning Law to completion and adoption. It took a long time, but that was because people in Skaneateles really care about their community and wanted to get this right. There were no short-cuts taken and the result reflects a lot of hard

work by many people. As you have heard before, this is a living document, and it was designed to be updated and revised as the needs of the community evolve over time. It will undoubtedly require tweaking and correcting based upon your experience using it.

I will now turn to the two issues that emerged as most significant at the public hearing.

#### **Affordable Housing**

The knotty problem of affordability was raised by several commenters. It is a serious concern and there are no easy fixes, partly because the main causes are outside the control of the Town. Housing markets are regional and housing affordability is a regional issue that can best be addressed regionally. However, there is no regional government entity that can do this effectively. Much of the affordability problem in Skaneateles results from the fact that the Town is an extremely desirable place to live because of its physical beauty, excellent schools, and cultural attractions. This has resulted in a shortage of supply which has driven prices higher. The pandemic has not helped, as it has induced people to flee cities and move to smaller communities where they feel safer. Housing construction has not kept pace with demand, putting further pressure on housing prices. New housing that has been built, even on relatively small lots, is very expensive because there is so little of it and it is in such great demand.

Throughout the 28 years that I have been privileged to work with Skaneateles, the issue of housing affordability has been discussed and it has only become more pressing over time. The existing zoning, adopted in 1996 and 2005, already had a number of provisions designed to address this issue, and the proposed new zoning takes this somewhat further. Some of the changes that will have a positive effect on housing affordability include:

- 1. Allowing and encouraging a wider range of housing types, particularly those in the so-called "missing middle," which includes a range of housing options that lie between single-family homes and large apartment buildings. Some of these options include duplexes, triplexes, townhouses, cottage courts (also called "pocket neighborhoods"), small apartment buildings, and cohousing communities.
- 2. Offering density incentives for housing that is restricted as affordable.
- 3. Encouraging accessory apartments in houses and accessory dwelling units in outbuildings.

There are other measures that are not part of zoning that can play a critical role in making housing more affordable. Two important ones are expansion of sewer services and subsidies. These are costly to implement and most communities lack the necessary resources. It is impossible to achieve greater density in appropriate locations without adequate sewer services, which has been an ongoing problem in Skaneateles. Housing subsidies have historically been available from the federal and state government, but those have been severely curtailed over the past 30 years. Without regional governance, sewer infrastructure, and subsidies, one Town can only do so much to mitigate this problem.

#### Skaneateles Lake

The "jewel" of the community is treasured by all who live here and visit. The existing zoning is already more protective of the lake than the zoning found in most other communities, and Skaneateles is justifiably proud of its strong emphasis on protecting this wonderful resource. While everyone agrees on this goal, which is central to the community's Master Plan, there are different views on how best to achieve it. Some commenters expressed a strong desire to make the zoning as protective as possible, while others pointed out that protective measures that are too broad or strict can impose unnecessary administrative and financial burdens on landowners, and may even have unintended negative consequences.

Some of the changes that have made the zoning more protective of the lake include:

- 1. Tightening the requirements for shared lakefront recreation to curtail overuse of lakefront parcels that provide lake access to lots that do not have lake frontage.
- 2. Instituting site plan review for more activities near the lakeshore. The proposed zoning originally contained a requirement of site plan review for all structures within 1000 feet of the lake line. After hearing comments that this could impose an undue burden on very small buildings that are far from the lake, this was modified to exempt fences and structures of less than 200 square feet from the site plan review requirement. Site plan review is still required for all structures that are within 200 feet of the lake line, and many other uses require site plan review regardless of their location.
- 3. The proposed lot coverage requirements were modified to eliminate an unintended incentive for landowners to increase impermeable surface coverage.
- 4. The standards for granting variances were enhanced in 2005 by making consideration of lake impacts a key consideration, and this is continued in the proposed zoning.

These are just some examples of what the new zoning does. Probably the biggest change is simply making the document easier to navigate and understand.

I want to express my appreciation to the Town for its commitment to fulfilling its planning goals and for giving me the opportunity to work and learn with you over nearly three decades."

Supervisor Aaron thanked Joel Russell for his statement and all his work with the Town of Skaneateles.

Supervisor reviewed the following timeline and history of this Amendment to the Zoning Code:

#### "Resolutions"

9.24.15 Village Adoption of the Joint Comprehensive Plan 8.20.15 Town Resolution Adopting Comprehensive Plan

In December 2015 Joel Russell was once again retained by the Town Board to correct some inaccuracies that were in the 2015 Plan and to make sure that the zoning was consistent with what was in the Comprehensive Plan. The zoning was completely redesigned by Joel to be more user

friendly. The draft zoning and Comprehensive Plan were circulated in 2017 and Joel scheduled a series of meetings with the community to listen and to discuss their different perspectives. The Town then held a public information meeting. In 2019 a zoning committee was formed consisting of Karen Barkdull, Zoning Clerk, Howard Brodsky, Town Planner, Scott Molnar, Town Planning and Zoning Attorney and Brody Smith, Town Attorney to work with Joel to incorporate the changes and prepare the documents and to coordinate with the Town Board to prepare the documents for review.

On September 24<sup>th</sup> an informational meeting was held with questions answered by Joel Russell and the Zoning Committee Members. On October 15<sup>th</sup> we held a public hearing and after the hearing was closed the Town agreed to leave the record open for any additional comments until October 26<sup>th</sup>. \*\* I have a timeline that shows just how many meetings Joel had and the reviews by our PB and ZBA along with final reviews by the Zoning Committee.

We certainly appreciate all the comments received. Some of the comments are stand alone issues that could change policy and they should be reviewed and considered on their own. Town will follow through on this. The Zoning Ordinance is a living documents that will require regular updates as needed. With all the greatest of efforts that were put forward and with changes in the Town Board from two Town elections, changes in our Planning and Zoning Boards and COVID19, we are now, five years later at the point to consider adoption.

Timeline	
May 2016	An organizational meeting with Joel Russell & Howard Brodsky
Feb. 2017	First Zoning Draft
July 2017	Draft Zoning posted on website
Aug-Oct//17	6 meetings of Planning and zoning Boards reviewing draft and submitting comments to Joel
Oct 2017	Joel provides summary and recommendations
2018	Project Stalled
Jan 2019	Zoning Committee formed to review final revisions and provide comments to Joel.
	This included Brody, Scott, Howard and Karen.
Feb 2019	Revised draft zoning
May-Aug 19	Zoning Committee meets 5 times to respond to zoning questions
July 2020	Joel provides updated zoning draft
Aug 2020	Zoning Committee finalizes zoning draft
Aug 2020	Drafts of the zoning code and comprehensive plan were submitted to the Village
	of Skaneateles, all surrounding Towns; Onondaga County Planning Board and
	Cayuga County.
G . 1 . 0.4	

September 24, 2020 Zoom Informational Meeting with questions answered by Joel Russell and the Zoning Committee members

October 15, 2020 Zoom Public Hearing. The public comment period was left open until October 26<sup>th</sup>."

12.07.2020

Supervisor Aaron thanked the Board, the Zoning Committee and Joel Russel for all their work and commitment.

Councilor McCormack asked for clarification on the section regarding structures within 1000 feet of the lake. Attorney Smith explained the committee considered restrictions on any structures within 1000 feet of the lake. The protections have increased within the lake watershed with this amendment. The amendment restricts structure other than small structures , such as sheds within 1000 feet of the lake. He explained most proposed structures within 1000 feet of the lake would now be subject to Site Plan review by the Planning Board.

The board approved the following resolution:

### RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF SKANEATELES

#### A Local Law Amending Chapter 148 of the Code of the Town of Skaneateles

**December 7, 2020** 

WHEREAS, pursuant to Municipal Home Rule Law Section 20(4), Board Member McCormack introduced, seconded by Board Member Tucker, for consideration of Local Law No. C of 2020 entitled "A Local Law Amending Chapter 148 of the Code of the Town of Skaneateles" (the "Proposed Local Law") at the Town of Skaneateles Town Board's (the "Town Board") meeting on August 17, 2020;

**WHEREAS**, the purpose of the Proposed Local Law is to amend Chapter 148 of the Code of the Town of Skaneateles, more commonly known as the Zoning Law of the Town of Skaneateles (the "Zoning Law");

WHEREAS, the Zoning Law was originally adopted on May 28, 1996, amended in its entirety on December 1, 2005, and has had portions of the law amended since that time on numerous occasions;

WHEREAS, the Town retained the services of zoning consultant Joel Russell to advise on the preparation of the Proposed Local Law and to hold a series of meetings with stake holders to gather input in connection with the preparation of the Proposed Local Law from October 10-12, 2017; and a further informational meeting was held on September 24, 2020;

WHEREAS, following the stakeholder and informational meetings, Mr. Russell prepared draft revisions to the Zoning Law that were further reviewed and amended by the Town Planning Board and planning staff;

WHEREAS, the Town Board held a public hearing on October 15, 2020 to consider the adoption of the Proposed Local Law;

**WHEREAS**, the Town Board has met its obligations to refer the Proposed Local Law to Onondaga County Planning for its review pursuant to General Municipal Law Section 239;

WHEREAS, the Town Board has met its obligations to refer the proposed Local Law to the Town of Skaneateles Planning Board for its review and report pursuant to Section 148-46(B) of the Zoning Law; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board has met its obligations to refer the Proposed Local Law to the clerks of the towns of Niles, Spafford, Marcellus, Camillus, Elbridge, Owasco, Sennett, the Village of Skaneateles and Cayuga County pursuant to Section 148-46(C) of the Zoning Law and Town Law Section 264(2); and

WHEREAS, the Town Board desires to comply with the requirements of SEQRA and its implementing regulations set forth at 6 NYCRR Part 617 with respect to these proposed amendments to the Zoning Law;

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Board confirms and adopts the following conclusions:

#### **SEQRA**

- 1. The Board hereby confirms its preliminary classification of the Action as a Type I Action under SEQRA.
- 2. The Board has compared the impacts that may reasonably be expected to result from the Action to the criteria for determining significance identified in Section 617.7(c)(1) of the Regulations and evaluated the issues of causation and significance in light of the standards under the same Section of the Regulations.
- 3. The Board has not identified any significant adverse environmental impacts associated with the Action and none are known to the Board. Based upon its review, and for the reasons set forth in the FEAF, the Board hereby determines that the Action will not have any significant adverse impacts on the environment and reaches the following further conclusions:
  - (A) The Action will not result in: (i) substantial adverse change in existing air quality; ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels; a substantial increase in solid waste production; or a substantial increase in potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems; (ii) the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna; substantial interference with the movement of a resident or migratory fish or wildlife species; impacts on a significant habitat area; substantial adverse impacts on threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such species; or (iii) other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;
  - (B) The Action will not affect a critical environmental area as designated pursuant to 6 NYCRR § 617.14(g);
  - (C) The Action will not conflict with the community's current plans or goals as officially approved or adopted;
  - (D) The Action will not result in the impairment of the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural, or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;

- (E) The Action will not result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
- (F) The Action will not result in the creation of a hazard to human health;
- (G) The Action will not result in a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land including architectural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
- (H) The Action will not result in encouraging or attracting of a large number of people to a place or places for more than a few days, compared to the number of people who would come to such place absent the action;
- (I) The Action will not result in the creation of a material demand for other actions that would result in one or more of the above consequences;
- (J) The Action will not result in changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which has a significant impact on the environment, but when considered together result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment; and
- (K) The Action will not result in two or more related actions undertaken, funded or approved by an agency, none of which has or would have a significant impact on the environment, but when considered cumulatively would meet one or more of the criteria in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c).
- 4. The information available concerning the Action was sufficient for Board to make its determination.
- 5. The Board hereby approves and adopts the FEAF for the Action (Parts 1, 2, and 3), issues a Negative Declaration, and will not require the preparation of an environmental impact statement for the Action.
- 6. The Board hereby directs the Supervisor to execute the FEAF and to make any filing(s) and publication required by law related to this Negative Declaration.
- **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the Board hereby adopts the Proposed Local Law, and that henceforth it be designated Local Law No. 3 of 2020;
- **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the Proposed Law shall take effect on January 1, 2021;
- **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the Board directs the Town Clerk to file the Proposed Local Law with the Secretary of State pursuant to Municipal Home Rule Law Section 27; and
- **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the Board directs the Town Clerk to publish a summary or abstract of the Proposed Local Law "in a newspaper published in the town, if any," or in a county newspaper having circulation in the town pursuant to Town Law Section 264(1).

The adoption of the foregoing Resolution was moved by McCormack, seconded by Legg, and duly put to vote, which resulted as follows:

Supervisor Aaron	Yes	
Councilor McCormack	Yes	
Councilor Tucker	Yes	
Councilor Alexander	Yes	
Councilor Legg	Yes	Carried 5-0

Attorney Smith reviewed the proposed Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan is a document that would be adopted by resolution of the Town Board, not Local law. It would be filled with Onondaga County Planning. SEQRA would need to be completed by the Town Board.

Attorney Smith verbally reviewed part 2 of the State Environmental Assessment Form:

- 1. **Impact on Land:** The proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 2. **Impact on Geological Factors**: The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 3. Impacts on Surface Water: The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds, lakes). The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 4. **Impact on groundwater:** The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifer. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 5. **Impact on Flooding:** The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 6. **Impacts on Air:** The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 7. **Impacts on Plants and Animals:** The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 8. **Impacts on Agricultural Resources:** The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources: The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 10. **Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources**: The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. The Board agreed the answer is "No".

- 11. **Impact on Open Space and Recreation:** The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas: The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 13. **Impact on Transportation:** The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 14. **Impact on Energy:** The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light: The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor lighting. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 16. **Impact on Human Health:** The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 17. Consistency with Community Plan: The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. The Board agreed the answer is "No".
- 18. Consistency with Community Character: The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. The Board agreed the answer is "No".

Attorney Smith stated this completed part 2 of the Environmental Assessment Form. Considering the Board answered "No" to all the environmental questions he recommended the Board declare a Negative Declaration for Proposed Local Law C of 2020.

On a motion of Councilor Tucker, seconded by Councilor Alexander and with unanimous (5-0) affirmation of the Town Board, based on the foregoing, and pursuant to SEQR's Regulations for determining the significance of an action, 6 NYCRR §617.7, the Proposed Action, a Type I action under SEQR's Regulations will have no significant adverse environmental impacts and, therefore, the Town Board hereby issues a Negative Declaration.

Attorney Smith stated with the determination of a negative declaration he recommended the Board Pass the town of Skaneateles by the following resolution:

# RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF SKANEATELES Amendment to Comprehensive Plan December 7, 2020

WHEREAS, on August 17, 2020 Board Member Tucker introduced, and seconded by Board Member Alexander, a resolution for purpose of amending the Skaneateles, New York Joint Comprehensive Plan 2015, including the revision of the title of the document to the "Town of

Skaneateles Comprehensive Plan 2020";

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 272-a of the Town Law, the purpose of this resolution is to adopt the attached Town of Skaneateles Comprehensive Plan 2020 and to add the Open Space Plan as an appendix to that document (hereafter referred to collectively as the "Comprehensive Plan");

WHEREAS, the Town Board seeks to make certain amendments to the Comprehensive Plan to clarify particular points and to address certain resident concerns. The revisions proposed do not affect the basic purpose, vision, or concepts of the 2015 Joint Comprehensive Plan.

Instead, the proposed revisions generally relate to the following: (1) modifying plan provisions based on the recent history of demographic and development trends and eliminating provisions that were based on assumptions that never came to pass; (2) adding language explaining the plan's role as a guidance document with no mandate for specific future actions; and (3) refining and condensing the text of the plan to make it more accessible and readable for the general public;

WHEREAS, the Town retained the services of planning consultant Joel Russell to advise on the preparation of the proposed amendments to the Comprehensive Plan and to hold a series of meetings with stake holders to gather input in connection with the preparation of the amendments from October 10-12, 2017, and a further informational meeting was held on September 24, 2020;

WHEREAS, following the stakeholder and informational meetings, Mr. Russell prepared draft revisions to the Comprehensive Plan that were further reviewed by the Town Planning Board and planning staff;

**WHEREAS**, the Town Board held a public hearing on October 15, 2020 to consider the adoption of the Comprehensive Plan;

WHEREAS, the Town Board has met its obligations to refer the Comprehensive Plan to Onondaga County Planning for its review pursuant to General Municipal Law Section 239;

WHEREAS, the Town Board also referred the proposed Comprehensive Plan to the Town of Skaneateles Planning Board for its review and report; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board desires to comply with the requirements of SEQRA and its implementing regulations set forth at 6 NYCRR Part 617, and Section 239 of the General Municipal Law, with respect to these proposed amendments to the Zoning Law.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Board confirms and adopts the following conclusions:

#### **SEORA**

- 1. The Board hereby confirms its preliminary classification of the Action as a Type I Action under SEQRA.
- 2. The Board has compared the impacts that may reasonably be expected to result from the Action to the criteria for determining significance identified in Section 617.7(c)(1) of the Regulations and evaluated the issues of causation and significance in light of the standards under the same Section of the Regulations.
- 3. The Board has not identified any significant adverse environmental impacts associated with the Action and none are known to the Board. Based upon its review, and for the reasons set forth in the FEAF, the Board hereby determines that the Action will not have any significant adverse impacts on the environment and reaches the following further conclusions:
  - (A) The Action will not result in: (i) substantial adverse change in existing air quality; ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels; a substantial increase in solid waste production; or a substantial increase in potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems; (ii) the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna; substantial interference with the movement of a resident or migratory fish or wildlife species; impacts on a significant habitat area; substantial adverse impacts on threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such species; or (iii) other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;
  - (B) The Action will not affect a critical environmental area as designated pursuant to 6 NYCRR § 617.14(g);
  - (C) The Action will not conflict with the community's current plans or goals as officially approved or adopted;
  - (D) The Action will not result in the impairment of the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural, or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;
  - (E) The Action will not result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
  - (F) The Action will not result in the creation of a hazard to human health;
  - (G) The Action will not result in a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land including architectural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
  - (H) The Action will not result in encouraging or attracting of a large number of people to a place or places for more than a few days, compared to the number of people who would come to such place absent the action;

- (I) The Action will not result in the creation of a material demand for other actions that would result in one or more of the above consequences;
- (J) The Action will not result in changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which has a significant impact on the environment, but when considered together result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment; and
- (K) The Action will not result in two or more related actions undertaken, funded or approved by an agency, none of which has or would have a significant impact on the environment, but when considered cumulatively would meet one or more of the criteria in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c).
- 4. The information available concerning the Action was sufficient for Board to make its determination.
- 5. The Board hereby approves and adopts the FEAF for the Action (Parts 1, 2, and 3), issues a Negative Declaration, and will not require the preparation of an environmental impact statement for the Action.
- 6. The Board hereby directs the Chairperson of the Board to execute the FEAF and to make any filing(s) and publication required by law related to this Negative Declaration.

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the Board hereby adopts the Comprehensive Plan, including the Open Space Plan;

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the Comprehensive Plan shall take effect on January 1, 2021; and

**BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the Board directs the Town Clerk to file the Comprehensive Plan in the office of the county planning agency no later than thirty days from today.

The adoption of the foregoing Resolution was moved by Councilor Alexander, seconded by Councilor Legg, and duly put to vote, which resulted as follows:

Supervisor Aaron Yes
Councilor McCormack Yes
Councilor Legg Yes
Councilor Alexander Yes
Councilor Legg Yes

Carried 5-0

Supervisor Aaron stated this had been 5 years in the making and a great accomplishment.

Onondaga County Planning Grant – Hamlet Planning Grant: Supervisor Aaron reviewed the grant opportunity from Onondaga County for Hamlet Planning. The development of the Hamlets is part of the Town's Comprehensive Plan. The Onondaga County Planning grant would have a

total budget of \$85,000. The Town would support \$35,000 and the County \$50,000. The grant would focus on the Hamlets of Mottville north of the Village.

Councilor Legg liaison to the Hamlet Committee, stated it had been a challenge to keep this moving during COVID. The committee had met and discussed what they would be able to contribute to the scope of work and task list for this project. The project this grant is funding is to create a master plan for the Hamlets to provide framework for growth possibilities, balanced with environmental protection and protection of community identity.

Syracuse Onondaga County Planning Agency (SOCPA) would create an RFP (Request for Proposals) for a consultant. When a consultant was chosen the County would sign a contract for the scope of work. He stated the grant would be focused on the hamlets of Mottville and Skaneateles Falls.

Supervisor Aaron stated the County is working on the RFP and will go out to bid to choose the consultant.

Councilor Alexander asked for clarification on the grant. Is it specific to the hamlet of Mottville. Supervisor Aaron stated this is for both the Mottville and Skaneateles Falls hamlets. Councilor Legg stated he would contact Onondaga County to clarify that both hamlets would be listed in the contract and scope of work.

Councilor Alexander asked if there would be community input. Supervisor Aaron stated yes, it had been difficult with COVID but when it is possible they will reach out to the community.

On a motion of Councilor Legg, seconded by Councilor Alexander, and with a (5-0) affirmation of the Town Board, the Town Board authorized Supervisor Aaron to sign the Grant Disbursement Agreement with Onondaga County for the Town of Skaneateles Hamlet Plan Grant.

Schedule Special Meeting – 2021 Organizational Meeting: Supervisor Aaron stated the Town Board would need to schedule an Organizational Meeting to approve the Town of Skaneateles 2021 Annual Resolution. The Annual Resolution sets the positions, salaries and hours of operations for all Town boards, committees and departments. Since the Town Board had no member changes this year the meeting could be scheduled before January 1<sup>st</sup>.

On a motion of Councilor Tucker, seconded by Councilor Legg, and with a (5-0) affirmation of the Town Board a Town Board Special Organizational meeting was scheduled for December 29, 2020 at 10:00 a.m.

Patrick Allen, So Clean – Transfer Station Commercial Hauler Permit: Supervisor Aaron reviewed with the Board a situation with a Commercial Hauler at the Transfer Station. Patrick Allen, owner and operator of So Clean holds a 2020 Commercial Hauler Permit for the Town of Skaneateles Transfer Station. Mr. Allen has 26 residents he collects garbage for in the Town of Skaneateles. It had come to our attention, Mr. Allen had collected garbage from a residence outside the Town of Skaneateles and disposed of the trash at the Town's Transfer Station. Mr. Allen's permit was revoked in accordance with following section of the Town of Skaneateles Code:

"D. Prohibited refuse. The following refuse shall not be deposited at a Town refuse disposal area: (1) Refuse which has not originated or become waste while within the Town, unless the Town Board shall, by resolution, authorize such refuse to be deposited pursuant to a permit. If refuse deposited in a Town refuse disposal area is found to contain, as addressee or consignee, the name of a person not a resident of the Town or an address not in the Town, there shall be a rebuttable presumption that such refuse was deposited in violation of this chapter."

Supervisor Aaron read the request from Mr. Allen asking to have his permit reinstated. He stated he did not know the refuse was mixed in with the Town of Skaneateles resident's trash. He stated he would not let this happen again and asked if he could have his permit reinstated.

The Board agreed a \$100 fine was in order and he could reapply for his permit in January 2021. Councilor Legg asked if it would be better to have him reinstated now in 2020 to allow his customers to apply for their 2021 permit and not have a break in service. The Board agreed this was reasonable.

On a motion of Councilor McCormack, seconded by Councilor Legg, and with a (5-0) affirmation of the Town Board, the Town Board agreed to reinstated Patrick Allen, So Clean's Transfer Station Commercial Hauler Permit contingent on the payment of the \$100 fine, the permit would be reinstated on December 14, 2020.

Board of Assessment Review, Planning Board and Zoning Board of Appeals – Interviews: Supervisor Aaron stated there were openings on the Board of Assessment Review, the Zoning Board of Appeals and the Planning Board. The Board needed to schedule a Special Meeting to interview potential candidates for these positions.

On a motion of Councilor Tucker, seconded by Councilor Legg, and with a (5-0) affirmation of the Town Board, the Town Board scheduled a Special Meeting for December 14, 2020 at 3:00p.m to interview potential candidates for the Planning Board, Zoning Board of Appeals and the Board of Assessment Review.

#### Announcements/Correspondence/Updates

- Skaneateles Historical Society The Creamery Closure- Supervisor Aaron announced the Historical Society Museum, The Creamery had announced they are closing to the public beginning November 18, 2020. Director Geralyn Huba stated in the email "This action was taken in an abundance of caution because of the area COVID numbers rising so dramatically. This proactive measure will ensure the health and safety of our volunteers and our visitors."
- Town Departments Holiday Closings: Supervisor Aaron announced the following Holiday Town closings:

December 24<sup>th</sup> & 25<sup>th</sup>

Town Hall

December 25<sup>th</sup>

All Departments including the Transfer Station

January 1st

All Departments including the Transfer Station

• Letter – Dessa Bergen: Supervisor Aaron announced a letter was received from Dessa Bergen. It was a letter regarding the Skaneateles Library and its funding. She stated the Library services

and receives tax funding from Towns outside Skaneateles. Spafford, Niles and Sennett as well as two counties, Onondaga and Cayuga. In the letter she stated if the Library Board is asking any Municipality, in this case the Town of Skaneateles, to become involved in financially assisting the Library, then all participating municipalities should enter into this funding assistance. She also stated in her letter "the sale of the Skaneateles Town Property on Fennell Street should be placed on the open market and receive top dollar for the property. This money received should then be used to benefit Taxpayers in our Town". Supervisor Aaron thanked Dessa Bergen for her letter.

- Onondaga County Mortgage Tax Apportionment: Supervisor Aaron announced the Mortgage Tax Apportionment was submitted to the Town in the amount of \$237,733.39. This was for the time period of April 1, 2020 September 30, 2020.
- NYS Association of Towns 2021 Annual Meeting & Training School All Virtual: Supervisor Aaron announced the 2021 Annual Meeting & Training School provided by the NYS Association of Towns will be an all-virtual event. The event will be February 14 17 and the registration fee is \$100.
- Turbidity Events November 15<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup> &22<sup>nd</sup>: Supervisor Aaron announced the City of Syracuse notified the Town of three turbidity events, November 15<sup>th</sup>, 16<sup>th</sup> and 22<sup>nd</sup>. This means the Skaneateles Lake water supply exceeded the allowable limit of 5 Nephelometric Turbidity Units (NTU) for raw water turbidity levels at a filtration avoidance system (NYS Sanitary Cade). As a result of these events a notice was sent to every water customer in the Town and was posted on the Town website.

John Visser – Letter: Supervisor Aaron stated a letter was received regarding the bunk house proposal from the Fire Department. The letter stated he is not in favor of the project. He stated there are other less expensive opportunities for rental properties. The Town and the Village should not be landlords. Supervisor Aaron stated this will be forwarded on to the Fire Department.

Councilor Tucker reviewed the request from Mr. Karlik on the west side of Skaneateles Like. He is applying to the Farmland Protection Program, this would take the development rights off this property and only allow farming in the future. The size of the property is almost 100 acres. Mr. Karlik would receive grant monies in payment for the sale of these development rights. The application is to be submitted to the Onondaga Farmland Protection Board and Mr. Karlik is asking for a letter of support from the Town. The Board agreed this is a good program and it is always positive to contribute to the opportunity to preserve open space. Supervisor Aaron stated she would prepare a letter when more information was received for the Board to review at the next meeting.

**Public Comment**: Dessa Bergen stated to the Board the letter she submitted was for information to help the Board with their decision on the Library locating to the Town Fennell Street property. Ms. Bergan also stated that the Fennell Street property could be a good location for affordable housing.

**Budget Amendments** – On a motion of Councilor Legg, seconded by Councilor Tucker and with unanimous (5-0) affirmation of the Town Board the following budget amendments for Abstract #20-23 were approved:

#### **General Fund**

Assessor – C/E \$ 700.00	014404.01.004.00	Increase	
Assessor – C/E – Legal \$ 700.00	014404.01.004.00	Decrease	
Additional costs associated with Assessors	Duties		
Building - Equip \$1,685.00	016202.01.002.00	Increase	
Building - Equip Reserve \$1,685.00	016202.01.002.93	Decrease	
Replace Hot Water Heater -			
Winter Rec – P/S \$3,600.00	071401.01.001.00	Increase	
Summer Rec. – P/S \$3,600.00	071101.01.001.00	Decrease	
Balance Personal Service lines – Between a	activities		
Planning – Comp Plan \$3,000.00	090558.01.008.00	Increase	
Contingency \$3,000.00	019904.01.004.00	Decrease	
Additional costs to support final review of	Comp Plan		
Refuse / Garbage – PS \$ 1.600.00	081601.01.001.00	Increase	
Refuse / Garbage – C/E \$47,000.00	081604.01.004.00	Decrease	
Mortgage Tax \$48,600.00	003005.01.000.00	Increase	
Cost associated with Garbage Disposal Contract			

**Abstract** #20-232 On a motion of Councilor McCormack, seconded by Councilor Alexander and with unanimous (5-0) affirmation of the Town Board vouchers #20-1437-#20-1517 were authorized from the following funds:

General Fund:	\$ 91,959.11	Highway:	\$ 1,494.48
Water:	\$ 1,811.79	Part Town:	\$ 22,643.80
Street Lighting	\$ 743.57	Highway PT	\$ 765.53

T&A

\$ 10,789.11

**TOTAL:** 

\$ 130,207.39

**Executive Session:** On a motion of Councilor Alexander, seconded by Councilor Legg and with unanimous (5-0) affirmation of the Town Board the meeting was adjourned to Executive Session at 8:10 p.m. for attorney advice and personnel

On a motion of Councilor Alexander, seconded by Councilor Tucker the meeting was returned to open session at 8:48 p.m.

On a motion of Councilor Tucker, seconded by Councilor McCormack and with unanimous (5-0) affirmation of the Town Board the meeting was adjourned at 8:48 p.m.

Respectfully Submitted,

Julie A. Stenger Town Clerk

### RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF SKANEATELES

#### Amendment to Comprehensive Plan

#### December 7, 2020

WHEREAS, on August 17, 2020 Board Member Tucker introduced, and seconded by Board Member Alexander, a resolution for purpose of amending the Skaneateles, New York Joint Comprehensive Plan 2015, including the revision of the title of the document to the "Town of Skaneateles Comprehensive Plan 2020";

WHEREAS, pursuant to Section 272-a of the Town Law, the purpose of this resolution is to adopt the attached Town of Skaneateles Comprehensive Plan 2020 and to add the Open Space Plan as an appendix to that document (hereafter referred to collectively as the "Comprehensive Plan");

WHEREAS, the Town Board seeks to make certain amendments to the Comprehensive Plan to clarify particular points and to address certain resident concerns. The revisions proposed do not affect the basic purpose, vision, or concepts of the 2015 Joint Comprehensive Plan. Instead, the proposed revisions generally relate to the following: (1) modifying plan provisions based on the recent history of demographic and development trends and eliminating provisions that were based on assumptions that never came to pass; (2) adding language explaining the plan's role as a guidance document with no mandate for specific future actions; and (3) refining and condensing the text of the plan to make it more accessible and readable for the general public;

WHEREAS, the Town retained the services of planning consultant Joel Russell to advise on the preparation of the proposed amendments to the Comprehensive Plan and to hold a series of meetings with stake holders to gather input in connection with the preparation of the amendments from October 10-12, 2017, and a further informational meeting was held on September 24, 2020;

WHEREAS, following the stakeholder and informational meetings, Mr. Russell prepared draft revisions to the Comprehensive Plan that were further reviewed by the Town Planning Board and planning staff;

WHEREAS, the Town Board held a public hearing on October 15, 2020 to consider the adoption of the Comprehensive Plan;

WHEREAS, the Town Board has met its obligations to refer the Comprehensive Plan to Onondaga County Planning for its review pursuant to General Municipal Law Section 239;

**WHEREAS**, the Town Board also referred the proposed Comprehensive Plan to the Town of Skaneateles Planning Board for its review and report; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board desires to comply with the requirements of SEQRA and its implementing regulations set forth at 6 NYCRR Part 617, and Section 239 of the General Municipal Law, with respect to these proposed amendments to the Zoning Law.

**NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED**, that the Board confirms and adopts the following conclusions:

#### **SEQRA**

- 1. The Board hereby confirms its preliminary classification of the Action as a Type I Action under SEQRA.
- 2. The Board has compared the impacts that may reasonably be expected to result from the Action to the criteria for determining significance identified in Section 617.7(c)(1) of the Regulations and evaluated the issues of causation and significance in light of the standards under the same Section of the Regulations.
- 3. The Board has not identified any significant adverse environmental impacts associated with the Action and none are known to the Board. Based upon its review, and for the reasons set forth in the FEAF, the Board hereby determines that the Action will not have any significant adverse impacts on the environment and reaches the following further conclusions:
  - (A) The Action will not result in: (i) substantial adverse change in existing air quality; ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels; a substantial increase in solid waste production; or a substantial increase in potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems; (ii) the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna; substantial interference with the movement of a resident or migratory fish or wildlife species; impacts on a significant habitat area; substantial adverse impacts on threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such species; or (iii) other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;
  - (B) The Action will not affect a critical environmental area as designated pursuant to 6 NYCRR § 617.14(g);
  - (C) The Action will not conflict with the community's current plans or goals as officially approved or adopted;
  - (D) The Action will not result in the impairment of the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural, or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;
  - (E) The Action will not result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
  - (F) The Action will not result in the creation of a hazard to human health;

- (G) The Action will not result in a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land including architectural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
- (H) The Action will not result in encouraging or attracting of a large number of people to a place or places for more than a few days, compared to the number of people who would come to such place absent the action;
- (I) The Action will not result in the creation of a material demand for other actions that would result in one or more of the above consequences;
- (J) The Action will not result in changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which has a significant impact on the environment, but when considered together result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment; and
- (K) The Action will not result in two or more related actions undertaken, funded or approved by an agency, none of which has or would have a significant impact on the environment, but when considered cumulatively would meet one or more of the criteria in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c).
- 4. The information available concerning the Action was sufficient for Board to make its determination.
- 5. The Board hereby approves and adopts the FEAF for the Action (Parts 1, 2, and 3), issues a Negative Declaration, and will not require the preparation of an environmental impact statement for the Action.
- 6. The Board hereby directs the Supervisor to execute the FEAF and to make any filing(s) and publication required by law related to this Negative Declaration.
- **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the Board hereby adopts the Comprehensive Plan, including the Open Space Plan;
- **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the Comprehensive Plan shall take effect on January 1, 2021; and
- **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the Board directs the Town Clerk to file the Comprehensive Plan in the office of the county planning agency no later than thirty days from today.

The adoption of the foregoing Resolution was moved by Councilor Alexander, seconded by Councilor Legg, and duly put to vote, which resulted as follows:

Janet Aaron	Voting	Aye
Courtney Alexander	Voting	Aye
Chris Legg	Voting	Aye
Kevin McCormack	Voting	Aye
Mark Tucker	Voting	Aye

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

Dated: December 7, 2020

#### **CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify that at a meeting of the Town Board of the Town of Skaneateles, Skaneateles, New York on December 7, 2020 the adoption of the foregoing Resolution was moved Councilor Alexander, seconded by Councilor Legg, and duly put to vote, which resulted as follows:

Supervisor Aaron	Yes	
Councilor McCormack	Yes	
Councilor Legg	Yes	
Councilor Alexander	Yes	
Councilor Legg	Yes	Carried 5-0

Julie A. Stenger

Skapeateles Town Clerk

### Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Agency Use Only [If applicable]
Project: COMPUMES IVEF

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

#### Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general
  question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1)  If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	✓NC		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	0	_
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f		
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a		0
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a		0
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	Dle		
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B1i		
h. Other impacts:			0

2.	Impact on Geological Features			
	* *			
	The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)			
	If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.			
	1) Tes , answer questions a - c. 1) 100 , move on to section 3.	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
		Part I	small	to large
		Question(s)	impact	impact may
		Question(s)	may occur	occur
a. :	Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g		
	The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark.  Specific feature:	E3c		
c.	Other impacts:			
3.	Impacts on Surface Water			
	The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h) If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	✓NO		YES
		Relevant	No, or	Moderate
		Part I	small	to large
		Question(s)	impact	impact may
			may occur	occur
a. '	The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h		
	The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b		
	The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a	0	
	The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h	0	
e. 7	The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h		
	The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c	0	
	The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d	0	
1	The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e	0	
	The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h		
ј. Т	he proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	0	0
	The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D1a, D2d		

1. Other impacts.		П	
4. Impact on groundwater  The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifo (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t)  If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	✓NO	· 🗆	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c		
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer.  Cite Source:	D2c		
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c		
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E21		D
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h		
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E21		
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c		
h. Other impacts:			
5. Impact on Flooding  The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding.  (See Part 1. E.2)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	<b>☑</b> NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i		
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j		
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k		
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e		
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k		0
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	Ele		

g. Other impacts:			0
6. Impacts on Air  The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source.  (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g)  If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	<b>√</b> NC		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: <ol> <li>More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)</li> <li>More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)</li> <li>More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs)</li> <li>More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>)</li> <li>More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions</li> <li>43 tons/year or more of methane</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g	0	
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g		0
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g		
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g		
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s		
f. Other impacts:		0	
7. Impact on Plants and Animals  The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2.  If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	mq.)	<b>√</b> NO	□YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o	0	
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p		
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p		

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c		
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community.  Source:	E2n		
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m		
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat.  Habitat type & information source:	E1b	0	
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q		
j. Other impacts:		0	
	I.		
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources  The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.	and b.)	✓NO	YES
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a	Relevant Part I	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.  a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.  a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.  b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.  a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.  b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).  c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E2c, E3b  E1a, Elb	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.  a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.  b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).  c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.  d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E2c, E3b  E1a, Elb  E3b	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.</li> <li>a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.</li> <li>e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land</li> </ul>	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E2c, E3b  E1a, Elb  E3b  E1b, E3a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.  a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.  b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).  c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.  d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.  e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.  f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E2c, E3b  E1a, E1b  E3b  E1b, E3a  El a, E1b  C2c, C3,	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources  The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.	✓NO □YES		between the proposed project and a, E.1.b, E.3.h.)  go to Section 10.
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h		0
<ul> <li>The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.</li> </ul>	E3h, C2b		0
<ul><li>c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points:</li><li>i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons)</li><li>ii. Year round</li></ul>	E3h	0	_ _
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed	E3h		
action is:  i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work	E2q,		_
ii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E1c		0
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h	0	
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project:  0-1/2 mile  1/2 -3 mile  3-5 mile  5+ mile	Dla, Ela, Dlf, Dlg		
g. Other impacts:			
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources			
The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.	<b>√</b> N0	D [	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e		
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f		
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory.  Source:	E3g		

d. Other impacts:			
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
<ol> <li>The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.</li> </ol>	E3e, E3g, E3f		0
ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b		
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3		
11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation			
The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan.  (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.	<b>✓</b> N0	0 [	YES
	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p		
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q	0	
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c		
e. Other impacts:			
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas  The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d)  If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.	✓ No	) [	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d		
c. Other impacts:		0	0

13. Impact on Transportation  The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems.  (See Part 1. D.2.j)  If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.  YES				
g see general questions a gray 100 gg to becaute 17.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur	
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j			
<ul> <li>The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.</li> </ul>	D2j			
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j		О	
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j		0	
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j			
f. Other impacts:				
14. Impact on Energy  The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy.  (See Part 1. D.2.k)  If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.	<b>✓</b> N0	o 🔲	YES	
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur	
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k		0	
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k	0	0	
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k	0		
<ul> <li>d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.</li> </ul>	Dlg			
e. Other Impacts:				
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light  The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor lighting.   ✓ NO  YES  (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.				
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur	
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.</li> </ul>	D2m			
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d			
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D2o			

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n		
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a		
f. Other impacts:		0	

16. Impact on Human Health  The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. and h.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may eccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d		
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	Elg, Elh		
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	Elg, Elh		
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	Elg, Elh		0
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	Elg, Elh		О
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t		
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f		
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f	<u> </u>	
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s		
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	Elf, Elg Elh	0	
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	Elf, Elg		
l. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r		
m. Other impacts:			

17. Consistency with Community Plans			
The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans. (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)	✓NO YES		
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).</li> </ul>	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b		
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2		
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3		
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2		
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb		
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j		
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a		
h. Other:			
10 Consistency with Community Change			
18. Consistency with Community Character  The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.  (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.	<b>✓</b> NO		YES
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)	Relevant Part I	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g.	Relevant Part I Question(s) E3e, E3f, E3g	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E3e, E3f, E3g  C4  C2, C3, D1f	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.  d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E3e, E3f, E3g  C4  C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character. (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.  a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.  b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)  c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.  d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.  e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E3e, E3f, E3g  C4  C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a  C2, E3	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

	utench one outh [trubbuenose]
Project :	Comprehensive Plan
Date:	12/7/20

## Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

#### **Reasons Supporting This Determination:**

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact
  occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
  occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where
  there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse
  environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

		,
		2.00
Determination of S	ignificance - Type 1 and Unl	isted Actions
SEQR Status: Type 1	<b>✓</b> Unlisted	
Identify portions of EAF completed for this Project:	Part 1 Part 2	Part 3

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the  as lead agency that:
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment and therefore an environmental impact
statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).
C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.
Name of Action: Local Law 3 of 2020 Comprehensive Plan
Name of Lead Agency: Town of Skaneateles
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Janet L. Aaron
Title of Responsible Officer: Supervisor
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Janet S. Oaren Date: 12/7/2020
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)  Date:
For Further Information:
Contact Person: Janet L. Aaron
Address: Town Hall, 24 Jordan St., Skaneateles, NY 13152
Telephone Number: 315-685-6726
E-mail: jaaron@townofskaneateles.com
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of) Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any)
Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html

## RESOLUTION OF THE TOWN BOARD OF THE TOWN OF SKANEATELES

#### A Local Law Amending Chapter 148 of the Code of the Town of Skaneateles

#### **December 7, 2020**

WHEREAS, pursuant to Municipal Home Rule Law Section 20(4), Board Member McCormack introduced, seconded by Board Member Tucker, for consideration of Local Law No. C of 2020 entitled "A Local Law Amending Chapter 148 of the Code of the Town of Skaneateles" (the "Proposed Local Law") at the Town of Skaneateles Town Board's (the "Town Board") meeting on August 17, 2020;

WHEREAS, the purpose of the Proposed Local Law is to amend Chapter 148 of the Code of the Town of Skaneateles, more commonly known as the Zoning Law of the Town of Skaneateles (the "Zoning Law");

WHEREAS, the Zoning Law was originally adopted on May 28, 1996, amended in its entirety on December 1, 2005, and has had portions of the law amended since that time on numerous occasions;

WHEREAS, the Town retained the services of zoning consultant Joel Russell to advise on the preparation of the Proposed Local Law and to hold a series of meetings with stake holders to gather input in connection with the preparation of the Proposed Local Law from October 10-12, 2017; and a further informational meeting was held on September 24, 2020;

**WHEREAS**, following the stakeholder and informational meetings, Mr. Russell prepared draft revisions to the Zoning Law that were further reviewed and amended by the Town Planning Board and planning staff;

WHEREAS, the Town Board held a public hearing on October 15, 2020 to consider the adoption of the Proposed Local Law;

WHEREAS, the Town Board has met its obligations to refer the Proposed Local Law to Onondaga County Planning for its review pursuant to General Municipal Law Section 239;

**WHEREAS**, the Town Board has met its obligations to refer the proposed Local Law to the Town of Skaneateles Planning Board for its review and report pursuant to Section 148-46(B) of the Zoning Law; and

WHEREAS, the Town Board has met its obligations to refer the Proposed Local Law to the clerks of the towns of Niles, Spafford, Marcellus, Camillus, Elbridge, Owasco, Sennett, the Village of Skaneateles and Cayuga County pursuant to Section 148-46(C) of the Zoning Law and Town Law Section 264(2); and

WHEREAS, the Town Board desires to comply with the requirements of SEQRA and its implementing regulations set forth at 6 NYCRR Part 617 with respect to these proposed amendments to the Zoning Law;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board confirms and adopts the following conclusions:

#### **SEQRA**

- 1. The Board hereby confirms its preliminary classification of the Action as a Type I Action under SEQRA.
- 2. The Board has compared the impacts that may reasonably be expected to result from the Action to the criteria for determining significance identified in Section 617.7(c)(1) of the Regulations and evaluated the issues of causation and significance in light of the standards under the same Section of the Regulations.
- 3. The Board has not identified any significant adverse environmental impacts associated with the Action and none are known to the Board. Based upon its review, and for the reasons set forth in the FEAF, the Board hereby determines that the Action will not have any significant adverse impacts on the environment and reaches the following further conclusions:
  - (A) The Action will not result in: (i) substantial adverse change in existing air quality; ground or surface water quality or quantity, traffic or noise levels; a substantial increase in solid waste production; or a substantial increase in potential for erosion, flooding, leaching or drainage problems; (ii) the removal or destruction of large quantities of vegetation or fauna; substantial interference with the movement of a resident or migratory fish or wildlife species; impacts on a significant habitat area; substantial adverse impacts on threatened or endangered species of animal or plant, or the habitat of such species; or (iii) other significant adverse impacts to natural resources;
  - (B) The Action will not affect a critical environmental area as designated pursuant to 6 NYCRR § 617.14(g);
  - (C) The Action will not conflict with the community's current plans or goals as officially approved or adopted;
  - (D) The Action will not result in the impairment of the character or quality of important historical, archeological, architectural, or aesthetic resources or of existing community or neighborhood character;
  - (E) The Action will not result in a major change in the use of either the quantity or type of energy;
  - (F) The Action will not result in the creation of a hazard to human health;

- (G) The Action will not result in a substantial change in the use, or intensity of use, of land including architectural, open space or recreational resources, or in its capacity to support existing uses;
- (H) The Action will not result in encouraging or attracting of a large number of people to a place or places for more than a few days, compared to the number of people who would come to such place absent the action;
- (I) The Action will not result in the creation of a material demand for other actions that would result in one or more of the above consequences;
- (J) The Action will not result in changes in two or more elements of the environment, no one of which has a significant impact on the environment, but when considered together result in a substantial adverse impact on the environment; and
- (K) The Action will not result in two or more related actions undertaken, funded or approved by an agency, none of which has or would have a significant impact on the environment, but when considered cumulatively would meet one or more of the criteria in 6 NYCRR § 617.7(c).
- 4. The information available concerning the Action was sufficient for Board to make its determination.
- 5. The Board hereby approves and adopts the FEAF for the Action (Parts 1, 2, and 3), issues a Negative Declaration, and will not require the preparation of an environmental impact statement for the Action.
- 6. The Board hereby directs the Supervisor to execute the FEAF and to make any filing(s) and publication required by law related to this Negative Declaration.
- **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the Board hereby adopts the Proposed Local Law, and that henceforth it be designated Local Law No. 3 of 2020;
- **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the Proposed Law shall take effect on January 1, 2021;
- **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the Board directs the Town Clerk to file the Proposed Local Law with the Secretary of State pursuant to Municipal Home Rule Law Section 27; and
- **BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED,** that the Board directs the Town Clerk to publish a summary or abstract of the Proposed Local Law "in a newspaper published in the town, if any," or in a county newspaper having circulation in the town pursuant to Town Law Section 264(1).

The adoption of the foregoing Resolution was moved by McCormack, seconded by Legg, and duly put to vote, which resulted as follows:

Janet Aaron	Voting	Aye
Courtney Alexander	Voting	Aye
Chris Legg	Voting	Aye
Kevin McCormack	Voting	Aye
Mark Tucker	Voting	Aye

The resolution was thereupon declared duly adopted.

Dated: December 7, 2020

#### **CERTIFICATION**

I hereby certify that at a meeting of the Town Board of the Town of Skaneateles, Skaneateles, New York on December 7, 2020 the adoption of the foregoing Resolution was moved Councilor McCormack, seconded by Councilor Legg, and duly put to vote, which resulted as follows:

Supervisor Aaron	Yes	
Councilor McCormack	Yes	
Councilor Legg	Yes	
Councilor Alexander	Yes	
Councilor Legg	Yes	Carried 5-0

Julie A. Stenger

Skapeateles Town Clerk

### Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Agency Use Only [If applicable]

Project: 104017 Juning - 113

Date: 12/7/2020

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

#### **Tips for completing Part 2:**

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the "whole action".
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1)  If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.	<b>∠</b> nc	) [	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	0	
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f		
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a		
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a		0
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	Dle		_
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q		
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	Bli 🛚		
h. Other impacts:			0

2. Impact on Geological Features  The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhib			
access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)  If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.	<b>✓</b> NC	) [	YES
17 105 , unswer questions a - c. 17 140 , move on to section 5.	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached:	E2g	0	
<ul> <li>b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark.</li> <li>Specific feature:</li> </ul>	E3c	0	0
c. Other impacts:		0	
3. Impacts on Surface Water  The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h)  If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.	☑no	· 🗆	YES
	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
r.	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h		
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b	0	
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a		
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h		<u> </u>
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h		
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c		D
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d	0	
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e		
<ol> <li>The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.</li> </ol>	E2h		
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	D	
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D1a, D2d		0

1. Other impacts:			0
4. Impact on groundwater			
The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifor (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t)  If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.	☑NO er.	) [	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c		
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer.  Cite Source:	D2c	C	
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c		0
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E21	0	
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h	0	
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E21	0	0
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c		0
h. Other impacts:		0	0
E T			
5. Impact on Flooding  The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding.  (See Part 1. E.2)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.	✓NO		YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i	0	
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j		0
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k	0	
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e	0	0
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k	0	
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	Ele		

g. Other impacts:			0
6. Impacts on Air  The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source.  (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h, D.2.g)  If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.	<b>√</b> N0	) [	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: <ol> <li>i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>)</li> <li>ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)</li> <li>iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs)</li> <li>iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>)</li> <li>v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions</li> <li>vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane</li> </ol> </li> </ul>	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g	0 0 0 0	0 0 0
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g	٥	
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU's per hour.	D2f, D2g	0	
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g		
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s		
f. Other impacts:			D
7. Impact on Plants and Animals			
The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.	mq.)	NO	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o		D
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o		0
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p	0	
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p	0	0

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c	0	0
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community.  Source:	E2n	0	0
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m	D	
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat.  Habitat type & information source:	Elb	0	П
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q	0	D
j. Other impacts:		0	
8. Impact on Agricultural Resources The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. a	and b )	✓NO	□ YES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.	ana <i>0.)</i>	I I I	LILD
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	Relevant Part I	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.  a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.</li> <li>a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land</li> </ul>	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of</li> </ul>	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E2c, E3b  E1a, Elb	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10</li> </ul>	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E2c, E3b  E1a, Elb  E3b	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.</li> <li>e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land</li> </ul>	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E2c, E3b  E1a, Elb  E3b  E1b, E3a	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.</li> <li>b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).</li> <li>c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.</li> <li>d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.</li> <li>e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.</li> <li>f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development</li> </ul>	Relevant Part I Question(s)  E2c, E3b  E1a, Elb  E3b  E1b, E3a  El a, E1b  C2c, C3,	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources  The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.	<b>√</b> N∘	0 [	]YES
g and y min wer questions at g, ay 110 , go to because 10.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h		
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b	0	
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h		
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed	E3h		
action is:	E2q,		
<ul> <li>i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work</li> <li>ii. Recreational or tourism based activities</li> </ul>	E1c	0	
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h	0	П
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project:  0-1/2 mile ½-3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g	D	В
g. Other impacts:		0	0
10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 11.	<b>√</b> N0	o [	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on the National or State Register of Historical Places, or that has been determined by the Commissioner of the NYS Office of Parks, Recreation and Historic Preservation to be eligible for listing on the State Register of Historic Places.	E3e	0	
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f	0	
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory.  Source:	E3g		

d. Other impacts:			
If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Moderate to large impact may e. occur", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
<ol> <li>The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.</li> </ol>	E3e, E3g, E3f		
ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b		o
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3	D	
<ul> <li>11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.</li> </ul>	<b>✓</b> N0	0 [	]YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p		
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q		
<ul> <li>c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.</li> </ul>	C2a, C2c E1c, E2q	0	
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c	0	
e. Other impacts:			
12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas  The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d)  If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.	✓ No	o [	YES
7 -10 , 6 - 10 200000 201	Relevant	No, or	Moderate
	Part I Question(s)	small impact may occur	to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the ČEA.	E3d		
<ul> <li>The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.</li> </ul>	E3d	0	0
c. Other impacts:		D	0

13. Impact on Transportation  The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems (See Part 1. D.2.j)  If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 14.	s. 🗸 N	0	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j	0	
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j		۵
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j	D	
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j		0
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j	0	_
f. Other impacts:		В	
14. Impact on Energy The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. (See Part 1. D.2.k)  If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.	<b>√</b> No	0 🗌	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k		
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k	0	0
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k	-	0
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	Dlg	0	
e. Other Impacts:			
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light  The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.	ting.  VNC	)	YES
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light  The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	YES  Moderate to large impact may occur
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light  The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)	Relevant Part I	No, or small impact	Moderate to large impact may
15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light  The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor ligh (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)  If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.  a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n		
<ul> <li>The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.</li> </ul>	D2n, E1a		
f. Other impacts:		0	

16. Impact on Human Health  The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. ar If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.	nd h.)	0 🗌	YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No,or small impact may eccur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d		
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	Elg, Elh		0
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	Elg, Elh		o o
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	Elg, Elh	Ö	
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	Elg, Elh		0
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t		
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f	0	0
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f		
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s	0	D
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	Elf, Elg Elh		
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	Elf, Elg		0
l. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r		
m. Other impacts:			

17 Consistence with Co. 14 Di			
17. Consistency with Community Plans  The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans.  (See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)	<b>✓</b> NO		/ES
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.			
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).</li> </ul>	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b	0	
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2	0	
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3	D	
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2		
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, Elb		
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j		
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a	0	
h. Other:		0	
19 Comittee 14 C			
18. Consistency with Community Character  The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.  (See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)  If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.	V		ES
g to , minner questions a g. 1/2110 , proceed to 1 art 5.	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
<ul> <li>a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.</li> </ul>	E3e, E3f, E3g		
<ul> <li>b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)</li> </ul>	C4	0	
c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.	C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a		
d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C2, E3		0
e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C2, C3	0	
f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.			
g. Other impacts:	C2, C3 E1a, E1b E2g, E2h	П	0

	ngency	UBL	Ощу	mappin	aure
ct ·	Tours	, 17	200	100-1	7 3

Date: 12/7/20

# Full Environmental Assessment Form Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts and Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

#### **Reasons Supporting This Determination:**

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact
  occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to
  occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where
  there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse
  environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

					y!	
	Determinati	ion of Significance -	Type 1 and U	nlisted Actions		
SEQR Status:	Type 1	Unlisted				
Identify portions of EA	F completed for this	Project: Part 1	Part 2	Part 3		

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information
and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the as lead agency that:
A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.
B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:
There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.7(d)).
C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.
Name of Action: Local Law 3 of 2020
Name of Lead Agency: Town of Skaneateles
Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Janet L. Aaron
Title of Responsible Officer: Supervisor
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Quet P. Queen Date: 12/7/2020
Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)  Date:
For Further Information:
Contact Person: Janet L. Aaron
Address: Town Hall, 24 Jordan St., Skaneateles, NY 13152
Telephone Number: 315-685-6726
E-mail: jaaron@townofskaneateles.com
For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:
Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of) Other involved agencies (if any) Applicant (if any)
Environmental Notice Bulletin: http://www.dec.ny.gov/enb/enb.html